

Peter Bayley - Web form submission

Critical Infrastructure Resilience

What is your name?

Peter Bayley

What is your email address?

petersbayley@gmail.com

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Individual

Do you consent for your submission (including identifying information) to be published and shared in lines with terms for this public consultation?

Yes

Do you consent for your submission (including identifying information) to be published and shared in lines with terms for this public consultation? - Please note what should be withheld and for what reasons.

[Nil]

Does more need to be done to improve the resilience of New Zealand's critical infrastructure system?

[Author's note: I have "dumped" a set of ideas I think are important to New Zealand's infrastructure resilience here in the first textbox (as there doesn't appear to be another place this can be done) this contains most of my representation to the enquiry. I have answered other questions in the on-line form where so prompted. Note I have not covered the traditional infrastructure types – roads, rail, air, ports, airports, power and communications grids etc as I am sure many others will cover these areas better than I could]

CHANGE:

The key threat to New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure is the rapid and accelerating rate of CHANGE:

A Small World

The planet is becoming ever more interconnected and interdependent. Events anywhere can affect outcomes everywhere. A pandemic arising in China and then present in New Zealand a matter of weeks later, attests to this important reality. New Zealand cannot consider itself isolated from or independent of, events occurring elsewhere. It must develop innovative, clever ways of monitoring, analysing and then acting early on information that might affect it both positively and negatively in order to react more usefully to world events as they happen. This trend to greater world interconnection is accelerating, amplified by the many almost real-time information streams, but with as yet unknown and unpredictable consequences.

While change is occurring in many fields, there are some areas that can be identified as impinging more directly on New Zealand's well being as so are areas for which plans can usefully be drawn:

1) The Monetary System as Critical Infrastructure:

The world's monetary systems are now effectively reduced to a single option, the \$US. The single, world "Reserve" currency, controlled by the 12 partially-US government owned but privately-controlled banks of the The Federal Reserve System, mean NZ, a country actively trading globally, will never have any real, independent control of its own currency and so will always be at the whim of foreign exchange rates, for the prices for which it can sell and buy goods and services.

Since 1971 when they floated their dollar, the US has been able to print any amount of the world's Reserve currency (effectively a blank cheque book) and has been doing so ever since to the tune of \$Trillions. This places all other nations at a disadvantage which is a fact that needs to inform New Zealand's financial interactions and decision making. In other words, New Zealand's financial standing and freedom to adapt is a component of its Critical Infrastructure that affects and informs all other activity. Of course activity both arising and concluding within New Zealand is relatively immune from these monetary externalities so plans for greater monetary independence, where possible, can help mitigate problems if the \$US experiences future difficulties. One of these plans might, for example, involve developing an independent store of non-fiat value such as gold.

NZ is effectively a price taker, not a price maker and its main products are not at all unique. Much competition for exports are from countries with much lower labour costs. For example, China has recently aggressively developed its dairy industry (with NZ help) to the point Fonterra's sales in China already dropping and are not likely to recover any time soon. China DOES see the potential down side of excessive interdependence and is taking concrete steps to reduce these dependencies. NZ must also make plans for how best to navigate, and perhaps benefit, from the inevitable world financial ructions.

2) Climate Change as Critical Infrastructure:

The world's climate is now entering a likely period of rapid change, potentially making large parts of the planet increasingly nonviable. This will probably result in an enormous increase in "Climate Refugees" - Pacific Islands swamped by rising seas levels; Migration away from a burning equatorial regions in search of food, arable land etc. NZ will experience an enormous pressure to take thousands, potentially millions of refugees, probably starting within the next decade. Water resources will become more scarce, precious and expensive. Of course climate change will also significantly affect NZ's Agriculture, Forestry and Tourism sectors.

Three quarters of New Zealanders live within 10km of the coast and probably only a few metres above sea -level, so rising sea levels, coastal erosion and general coastal viability is certain to be a growing issue. Recent out-of-prediction changes in sea surface temperatures in the Northern Atlantic and Florida Keys (38.4C) show that the weather system is becoming less predictable as it enters new and uncharted territory. As most of New Zealand's profitable industries – agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, forestry and tourism - are all weather dependent comprehensive plans need to be created for how to manage the inevitable changes but as challenges and as opportunities.

3) Technology as Critical Infrastructure:

Technology has reached a point at which, like Climate Change, is about to start growing exponentially and probably in unexpected directions. Technology's new poster child, Artificial Intelligence, is likely to significantly inform and affect all other technologies (robotics, data analysis, medical diagnosis etc). AI-assisted technologies capable of large-scale analysis and synthesis are both a potent tool to improve many aspects of NZ society but also a potential threat that could extensively disrupt existing systems if wielded with malice.

NZ cannot opt to ignore these changing technologies because mastering and employing them could reap significant benefits while not mastering them places NZ in a much weaker position, susceptible to the influence of those that have taken the necessary steps. NZ has a reasonable capable education system, albeit overly bureaucratic (Why do 5 million people need 8 Universities?) and so should be able to develop internal AI expertise to greatly benefit from the use of 'thinking machines' to address New Zealand's challenges.

The Google Alphabet-funded AI company, Deep Mind (With New Zealander, Shane Legg as one of the founders) has developed AlphaFold which can predict, in minutes, how proteins are likely to fold, given an initial amino acid sequence - a task that used to take years to work out the shape of just one protein. It has already predicted folding for 200 million proteins.

<https://time.com/6201423/deepmind-alphafold-proteins/>. Eventually the tech will be able to work backwards, designing new proteins which can radically change the whole basis of organic chemistry and hence of Food and Agriculture generally. This is both a great threat and a great opportunity for NZ's entire set of biological growth-based industries which, again, must be planned for. The future of worldwide food activity is will increasingly be in manufactured rather than grown meats, putting at risk our current traditional sheep, beef and dairy industries.

4) Space as Critical Infrastructure:

Access to space is about to drop in cost around 100 fold. At these prices, access to space becomes similar to access to the airways. The changes will be profound and it is essential NZ form a comprehensive plan to take advantage of this fact.

SpaceX's Starship should eventually be able to place 200,000 Kg into low earth orbit for around \$US2 Million, (\$10/kg) essentially for the cost of fuel and maintenance, much like the current cost of air travel. Space-based, real-time, remote sensing and communications - a significant NEW "Infrastructure" will transform the way NZ can handle physical events such as floods, fires, earthquakes etc. It can also enhance the whole land-use sector with better monitoring of crop growth, fisheries monitoring, ubiquitous communications anywhere in New Zealand and surrounding seas etc.

NZ MUST have a comprehensive plan for utilising and benefiting from the newly achievable access to space. That one of the world's most successful launch systems currently available (Rocket Lab) is a New Zealand initiative shows that we can perform well in this area if we choose to. Again NZ should plan for, and so benefit from the changes that are inevitably coming

5) Drones as Critical Infrastructure:

Autonomous, unmanned technologies such as air, surface and sea drones are developing rapidly and are an area in which NZ could develop excellent abilities in their design, development and manufacture. Witness how rapidly such tech is changing the nature of Ukraine's response to Russia's invasion. Drone tech can be widely used in peacetime and then easily re-tasked for emergencies and conflicts, both natural and man-made. Drones can oversee disaster management, provide resources where roads are damaged, save people on land and at sea and generally act as do current planes and helicopters but without operator risk.

The viability of current piloted aircraft, simply based on operating cost, will rapidly reduce as drone technology improves. NZ must be and can be on this wave and could greatly benefit from a vibrant drone industry

6) Dependence on Foreign Energy as Critical Infrastructure.

The current fossil fuel industry is actively promoting and funding international delaying tactics in the

world acting on climate change. It is doing so, as did the tobacco industry some decades before, so it can continue to reap vast profits. Five corporations: ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, TotalEnergies & BP made \$200 Billion in 2022 <https://www.cnn.com/video/2023/03/03/oil-companies-made-200-billion-in-profits-in-2022.html>.

It is vital NZ faces the threat of hydrocarbon-based fuel pricing and availability and develops plans to move to sustainable, ultimately, much cheaper, internally-sourced and developed alternatives. Electrical power is the most likely winner amongst NZ's future energy options and so plans should be made to "electrify" our homes, industries and transport sectors as soon as possible. The Southern Alps utilising hydro and wind energy technologies is potentially an enormous source of both power and fresh water both of which will be increasingly valuable globally.

6) Information as critical infrastructure:

New Zealand society is experiencing a profound and increasing change in how information is created, distributed, searched and used. It is quite likely that citizen's interaction with its various government entities will come to be mediated virtually rather than physically. The large amount of data collected and utilised in running our societies is, itself, valuable "infrastructure" that needs to be controlled within NZ and used to benefit New Zealand and New Zealanders.

Currently it is popular to "outsource" both information and the processing and use of that information into the hands of foreign corporations. It is already evident that the initial cost benefits of "outsourcing" are beginning to change into increasing cost and loss of autonomy and control in the utilisation of the information collected. Across the world, all IT is being exported and placed under the control of a few large US Corporations, notably, Microsoft, Google and Amazon. In New Zealand's case, this information, especially those elements concerning the running of NZ society and interactions between citizens and government is very much "Critical Infrastructure" just as much as Roads.

The considerable change in shopping behaviour from physical to online modes (obviously accelerated by the Covid lockdowns) shows that roads will be utilised less (for delivery rather than shopping trips) and Internet more (especially with wireless options such as StarLink). NZ should fundamentally rethink its current enthusiasm for foreign outsourcing, especially when the move then prompts government institutions to let their own internal information expertise lapse and thus become increasingly dependant on external companies (often the same Cloud providers) to search, access and provide their own data back to them. It is, to be honest, CRAZY that New Zealand's excellent Real Me identification system is hosted on the US company Microsoft's Azure Cloud. I'm sure the US Government enjoy (through their agreements with the Cloud Providers) full access to every New Zealander's access details. There appears to be no concern about this but I doubt it will end well.

Have you had direct experience of critical infrastructure failures, and if so, how has this affected you?

No. Indirect experience, through a Christchurch friend who experienced the earthquakes and the days afterwards without electricity or water. I arranged for the family to relocate to Auckland for a few weeks.

I was living in Christchurch during the mosque shooting attacks at which the emergency services appeared to work properly and as designed.

I am prompted mostly by the threats and opportunities that the rapid changes currently occurring

have on how NZ should do things, including in its management of critical infrastructure. My background is in land-based information technology (Geographic Information Systems). To me, the accelerating rate of technical change is a chance for both great benefits and great losses to NZ, depending on how it plans for and executes actions in response to those changes.

How would you expect a resilient critical infrastructure system to perform during adverse events?

By exploring, analysing and, where appropriate, adoption new technologies to better manage the NZ infrastructure.

Would you be willing to pay higher prices for a more resilient and reliable critical infrastructure system?

It's always a hard sell but it is evident, even just taking the Christchurch earthquake as an example, that money spent on better information on, and management of, Critical Infrastructure is ultimately much cheaper than having to play catch-up or finding there IS no useful information at the time a critical event occurs.

The work programme's objective is to enhance the resilience of New Zealand's critical infrastructure system to all hazards and threats, with the intent of protecting New Zealand's wellbeing, and supporting sustainable and inclusive growth. Do you agree with these objectives? If not, what changes would you propose?

The objectives are laudable and I agree with them. I hope the initiative does not just end in large, expensive contracts for foreign consultancy (see Mariana Mazzucuto's "The Big Con") corporations. It sounds expensive but the NZ Government should develop a high level of internal expertise in managing Infrastructure. The whole world is experiencing the same challenges as are affecting NZ so managing them well with innovative tech could even be a profitable exercise in working with other countries on their similar challenges.

Do you agree with the proposed criteria for assessing reform options? If not, what changes you would propose?

The available criteria are fine but I think you are missing the opportunity to benefit and profit from preemptive actions to reduce problems and increase resilience. Each measure that provides actions to ameliorate challenges to infrastructure could also provide ways in which the action could benefit New Zealand and even provide a return on the resilience-raising actions.

Do you think the megatrends outlined pose significant threats to infrastructure resilience?

Yes. The world is more interconnected and interdependent. Also a small number of very large, unelected and largely uncontrollable corporations now control most aspects of the lives of most people on the planet. The net effect to NZ is that there will arise powerful externalities we can't control. Fortunately, other nations of similar size to NZ are facing the same issues and problems so we can potentially work internationally to address our common challenges.

Are there additional megatrends that are also important that we haven't mentioned? If so, please provide details.

Please refer to my rave in the first answer box above. I site

1. Monetary systems
2. Climate
3. Technology
4. Space
5. Remote technologies
6. Foreign Energy dependence
7. Information

Do you think we have described the financial implications of enhancing resilience accurately? If not, what have we missed?

In general, you are emphasising the need for resilience which is good, but you are missing out on the positive opportunities that can occur out of the same applications of technology. I mention soem of these opportunities in my first answer.

How important do you think it is for the resilience of New Zealand's infrastructure system to have a greater shared understanding of hazards and threats?

Generally, New Zealanders are well aware of threats, based on recent experiences: Christchurch Earthquake, White Island, Pandemic, Christchurch Mosque shootings and North Island floods.

It probably needs to be explained that pre-emptive action will usually be much cheaper than suprised reaction after the event. For example, this is a well-defined principle within the military and security sectors and should also apply to the management of infrastructure.

If you are a critical infrastructure owner or operator, what additional information do you think would best support you to improve your resilience?

The most important preemptive action is to access and understand information. Hence the accurate and timely provision of information (as happened, for example, during the pandemic) is the best way to keep New Zealanders engaged and ready if circumstances dictate.

What do you think the government should do to enable greater information sharing with, and between, critical infrastructure owners and operators?

The Government's Pandemic response showed us how government can usefully interact with New Zealanders. more of the same, especially through elective media such as Social Media, We content etc, rather than concentrating on the few one-to-many outlets - NewsHub etc which tend to produce a bland output that helps many people but only a little.

Would you support the government having the ability to set, and enforce, minimum resilience standards across the entire infrastructure system?

Resilience standards are necessary, simply because substandard infrastructure is the most likely to cause problems and costs. Again I think there is an opportunity for a positive side to infrastructure benefits with dual-purpose resources etc. These ideas are also in my first answer.

Would you support the government investing in a model to assess the significance of a critical infrastructure asset, and using that as the basis for imposing more stringent resilience requirements?

I would support the development of such a model but such initiatives often fall away after an initial honeymoon period because funds and resources are not made available to keep the model accurate and useful; therefore, an essential component of such a model, would be a comprehensive regime to maintain and regularly update the model in the light of new internal and external developments.

What criteria would you use to determine a critical infrastructure asset's importance? Investing in a model to assess a critical infrastructure asset's criticality, and using that as the basis for imposing resilience requirements that are more stringent on particularly sensitive assets?

Ultimately, the built environment in general should be evaluated and judged on its overall benefit or impediment to society - how many New Zealanders does it benefit? How large is that benefit. How much are problems with the infrastructure likely to cost how many New Zealanders etc.

Do you think there is a need for the government to have greater powers to provide direction or intervene in the management of significant national security threats against a critical infrastructure? - Is there a need for greater powers? If so, what type of powers should the government consider? What protections would you like to see around the use of such powers to ensure that they were only used as a last resort, where necessary?

The current emphasis on the saving of LIVES first and foremost followed by actions to save Infrastructure seems correct. Of course it needs to be remembered that some failures of infrastructure can also threaten lives. Again I'm interested in which infrastructure-management measures could also provide a component of benefit when there are NOT infrastructure challenges - the "dual-use" argument - an agricultural drone re-tasked to rescue people from a floundering vessel at sea, for example

Do you think there is a need for a government agency or agencies to have clear responsibility for the resilience of New Zealand's critical infrastructure system?

I think the regulatory functions should be vested in a single entity but also that that entity should be VIRTUAL. By that, I mean we need government entities that do not exist in a single building at a single location but are an organisation much as any other with management and staff etc - but connected virtually from people physically residing ANYWHERE (including overseas) or even on the move, and connecting via NZ-Controlled communications infrastructure (Not Teams or Zoom - the tech is essentially available in any modern browser and does not need US Corporate overhead and

control) This allows a greater pool of potential staff can be drawn and do not all need to reside in Wellington.

Do you think there is a need for compliance and enforcement mechanisms (eg. mandatory reporting, penalties, offences) to ensure that critical infrastructure operators are meeting potential minimum standards?

The stick needs to be there but generally speaking once the stick needs to be used, the system has already broken down. This "bottom-of-the-cliff" reaction when, hopefully in most cases, preemptive action can avoid the need for such measures. Most people respond to carrots rather than sticks.

What additional comments do you have?

I have repeated by wordy diatribe pasted into the first response textbox in order to better drive my points home. Many thanks for the opportunity to comment. I only found out about it yesterday so apologies for my rushed reply

[Author's note: I have "dumped" a set of ideas I think are important to New Zealand's infrastructure resilience here in the first textbox (as there doesn't appear to be another place this can be done) this contains most of my representation to the enquiry. I have answered other questions in the on-line form where so prompted. Note I have not covered the traditional infrastructure types – roads, rail, air, ports, airports, power and communications grids etc as I am sure many others will cover these areas better than I could]

CHANGE:

The key threat to New Zealand's Critical Infrastructure is the rapid and accelerating rate of CHANGE:

A Small World

The planet is becoming ever more interconnected and interdependent. Events anywhere can affect outcomes everywhere. A pandemic arising in China and then present in New Zealand a matter of weeks later, attests to this important reality. New Zealand cannot consider itself isolated from or independent of, events occurring elsewhere. It must develop innovative, clever ways of monitoring, analysing and then acting early on information that might affect it both positively and negatively in order to react more usefully to world events as they happen. This trend to greater world interconnection is accelerating, amplified by the many almost real-time information streams, but with as yet unknown and unpredictable consequences.

While change is occurring in many fields, there are some areas that can be identified as impinging more directly on New Zealand's well being as so are areas for which plans can usefully be drawn:

1) The Monetary System as Critical Infrastructure:

The worlds monetary systems are now effectively reduced to a single option, the \$US. The single, world "Reserve" currency, controlled by the 12 partially-US government owned but privately-controlled banks of the The Federal Reserve System, mean NZ, a country actively trading globally, will never have any real, independent control of its own currency and so will always be at the whim of foreign exchange rates, for the prices for which it can sell and buy goods and services.

Since 1971 when they floated their dollar, the US has been able to print any amount of the world's Reserve currency (effectively a blank cheque book) and has been doing so ever since to the tune of

\$Trillions. This places all other nations at a disadvantage which is a fact that needs to inform New Zealand's financial interactions and decision making. In other words, New Zealand's financial standing and freedom to adapt is a component of its Critical Infrastructure that affects and informs all other activity. Of course activity both arising and concluding within New Zealand is relatively immune from these monetary externalities so plans for greater monetary independence, where possible, can help mitigate problems if the \$US experiences future difficulties. One of these plans might, for example, involve developing an independent store of non-fiat value such as gold.

NZ is effectively a price taker, not a price maker and its main products are not at all unique. Much competition for exports are from countries with much lower labour costs. For example, China has recently aggressively developed its dairy industry (with NZ help) to the point Fonterra's sales in China already dropping and are not likely to recover any time soon. China DOES see the potential down side of excessive interdependence and is taking concrete steps to reduce these dependencies. NZ must also make plans for how best to navigate, and perhaps benefit, from the inevitable world financial ructions.

2) Climate Change as Critical Infrastructure:

The world's climate is now entering a likely period of rapid change, potentially making large parts of the planet increasingly nonviable. This will probably result in an enormous increase in "Climate Refugees" - Pacific Islands swamped by rising seas levels; Migration away from a burning equatorial regions in search of food, arable land etc. NZ will experience an enormous pressure to take thousands, potentially millions of refugees, probably starting within the next decade. Water resources will become more scarce, precious and expensive. Of course climate change will also significantly affect NZ's Agriculture, Forestry and Tourism sectors.

Three quarters of New Zealanders live within 10km of the coast and probably only a few metres above sea level, so rising sea levels, coastal erosion and general coastal viability is certain to be a growing issue. Recent out-of-prediction changes in sea surface temperatures in the Northern Atlantic and Florida Keys (38.4C) show that the weather system is becoming less predictable as it enters new and uncharted territory. As most of New Zealand's profitable industries – agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, forestry and tourism - are all weather dependent comprehensive plans need to be created for how to manage the inevitable changes but as challenges and as opportunities.

3) Technology as Critical Infrastructure:

Technology has reached a point at which, like Climate Change, is about to start growing exponentially and probably in unexpected directions. Technology's new poster child, Artificial Intelligence, is likely to significantly inform and affect all other technologies (robotics, data analysis, medical diagnosis etc). AI-assisted technologies capable of large-scale analysis and synthesis are both a potent tool to improve many aspects of NZ society but also a potential threat that could extensively disrupt existing systems if wielded with malice.

NZ cannot opt to ignore these changing technologies because mastering and employing them could reap significant benefits while not mastering them places NZ in a much weaker position, susceptible to the influence of those that have taken the necessary steps. NZ has a reasonable capable education system, albeit overly bureaucratic (Why do 5 million people need 8 Universities?) and so should be able to develop internal AI expertise to greatly benefit from the use of "thinking machines" to address New Zealand's challenges.

The Google Alphabet-funded AI company, Deep Mind (With New Zealander, Shane Legg as one of the founders) has developed AlphaFold which can predict, in minutes, how proteins are likely to fold, given an initial amino acid sequence - a task that used to take years to work out the shape of just

one protein. It has already predicted folding for 200 million proteins.

<https://time.com/6201423/deepmind-alphafold-proteins/>. Eventually the tech will be able to work backwards, designing new proteins which can radically change the whole basis of organic chemistry and hence of Food and Agriculture generally. This is both a great threat and a great opportunity for NZ's entire set of biological growth-based industries which, again, must be planned for. The future of worldwide food activity is will increasingly be in manufactured rather than grown meats, putting at risk our current traditional sheep, beef and dairy industries.

4) Space as Critical Infrastructure:

Access to space is about to drop in cost around 100 fold. At these prices, access to space becomes similar to access to the airways. The changes will be profound and it is essential NZ form a comprehensive plan to take advantage of this fact.

SpaceX's Starship should eventually be able to place 200,000 Kg into low earth orbit for around \$US2 Million, (\$10/kg) essentially for the cost of fuel and maintenance, much like the current cost of air travel. Space-based, real-time, remote sensing and communications - a significant NEW "Infrastructure" will transform the way NZ can handle physical events such as floods, fires, earthquakes etc. It can also enhance the whole land-use sector with better monitoring of crop growth, fisheries monitoring, ubiquitous communications anywhere in New Zealand and surrounding seas etc.

NZ MUST have a comprehensive plan for utilising and benefiting from the newly achievable access to space. That one of the world's most successful launch systems currently available (Rocket Lab) is a New Zealand initiative shows that we can perform well in this area if we choose to. Again NZ should plan for, and so benefit from the changes that are inevitably coming

5) Remote technologies as Critical Infrastructure:

Autonomous, unmanned technologies such as air, surface and sea drones are developing rapidly and are an area in which NZ could develop excellent abilities in their design, development and manufacture. Witness how rapidly such tech is changing the nature of Ukraine's response to Russia's invasion. Drone tech can be widely used in peacetime and then easily re-tasked for emergencies and conflicts, both natural and man-made. Drones can oversee disaster management, provide resources where roads are damaged, save people on land and at sea and generally act as do current planes and helicopters but without operator risk.

The viability of current piloted aircraft, simply based on operating cost, will rapidly reduce as drone technology improves. NZ must be and can be on this wave and could greatly benefit from a vibrant drone industry

6) Dependence on Foreign Energy as Critical Infrastructure.

The current fossil fuel industry is actively promoting and funding international delaying tactics in the world acting on climate change. It is doing so, as did the tobacco industry some decades before, so it can continue to reap vast profits. Five corporations: ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, TotalEnergies & BP made \$200 Billion in 2022 <https://www.cnbc.com/video/2023/03/03/oil-companies-made-200-billion-in-profits-in-2022.html>.

It is vital NZ faces the threat of hydrocarbon-based fuel pricing and availability and develops plans to move to sustainable, ultimately, much cheaper, internally-sourced and developed alternatives. Electrical power is the most likely winner amongst NZ's future energy options and so plans should be made to "electrify" our homes, industries and transport sectors as soon as possible. The Southern Alps utilising hydro and wind energy technologies is potentially an enormous source of both power

and fresh water both of which will be increasingly valuable globally.

6) Information as critical infrastructure:

New Zealand society is experiencing a profound and increasing change in how information is created, distributed, searched and used. It is quite likely that citizen's interaction with its various government entities will come to be mediated virtually rather than physically. The large amount of data collected and utilised in running our societies is, itself, valuable "infrastructure" that needs to be controlled within NZ and used to benefit New Zealand and New Zealanders.

Currently it is popular to "outsource" both information and the processing and use of that information into the hands of foreign corporations. It is already evident that the initial cost benefits of "outsourcing" are beginning to change into increasing cost and loss of autonomy and control in the utilisation of the information collected. Across the world, all IT is being exported and placed under the control of a few large US Corporations, notably, Microsoft, Google and Amazon. In New Zealand's case, this information, especially those elements concerning the running of NZ society and interactions between citizens and government is very much "Critical Infrastructure" just as much as Roads.

The considerable change in shopping behaviour from physical to online modes (obviously accelerated by the Covid lockdowns) shows that roads will be utilised less (for delivery rather than shopping trips) and Internet more (especially with wireless options such as StarLink). NZ should fundamentally rethink its current enthusiasm for foreign outsourcing, especially when the move then prompts government institutions to let their own internal information expertise lapse and thus become increasingly dependant on external companies (often the same Cloud providers) to search, access and provide their own data back to them. It is, to be honest, CRAZY that New Zealand's excellent Real Me identification system is hosted on the US company Microsoft's Azure Cloud. I'm sure the US Government enjoy (through their agreements with the Cloud Providers) full access to every New Zealander's access details. There appears to be no concern about this but I doubt it will end well.